

The Natural Numbers

$$\mathbb{N} = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$$

i.e. the counting numbers.

We won't provide a construction for \mathbb{N} but rather regard \mathbb{N} as too intuitive for such to be necessary.

\mathbb{N} comes equipped with addition and multiplication such that

Properties of Addition + Multiplication

Let $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

1) $m + n = n + m$ (Commutativity of $+$)

2) $m \cdot n = n \cdot m$ (Commutativity of \cdot)

3) $m + (n + k) = (m + n) + k$
(Associativity of $+$)

4) $m \cdot (n \cdot k) = (m \cdot n) \cdot k$
(Associativity of \cdot)

$$5) m \cdot (n+k) = m \cdot n + m \cdot k$$

(Distributivity of \cdot over $+$)

If $m > n$, define $m - n$
to be the element $k \in \mathbb{N}$
such that $n + k = m$.

The Grothendieck Group Construction

We're lacking negative numbers and zero. We want to construct the integers:

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{ \dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \}$$

Consider

$$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$$

and define addition and

multiplication coordinate-wise:

$$(n_1, n_2) + (m_1, m_2)$$

$$= (n_1 + m_1, n_2 + m_2)$$

$$(n_1, n_2) \cdot (m_1, m_2)$$

$$= (n_1 m_1, n_2 m_2)$$

Now define an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by

$$(n_1, n_2) \sim (m_1, m_2)$$

$$\text{if } n_1 + m_2 = n_2 + m_1$$

$$\text{Define } \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} / \sim$$

Now we just need to
check that this is an
equivalence relation!

Let $(m_1, m_2), (n_1, n_2),$
 $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$

$$1) \quad \underline{(m_1, m_2) \sim (m_1, m_2)}$$

Since $n_1 = m_1, n_2 = m_2$

$$n_1 + m_2 = m_1 + m_2$$

$$= m_1 + n_2$$

$$= n_2 + m_1 \quad \checkmark$$

2) If $(n_1, n_2) \sim (m_1, m_2)$,
then $(m_1, m_2) \sim (n_1, n_2)$

Let $(n_1, n_2) \sim (m_1, m_2)$.

Then $n_1 + m_2 = n_2 + m_1$.

By commutativity of
addition,

$$m_2 + n_1 = m_1 + n_2$$

$\Rightarrow (m_1, m_2) \sim (n_1, n_2) \checkmark$

3) If $(m_1, m_2) \sim (n_1, n_2)$
and $(n_1, n_2) \sim (k_1, k_2)$, then
 $(m_1, m_2) \sim (k_1, k_2)$.

If $(m_1, m_2) \sim (n_1, n_2)$, then

$$m_1 + n_2 = m_2 + n_1.$$

If $(n_1, n_2) \sim (k_1, k_2)$, then

$$n_1 + k_2 = n_2 + k_1.$$

Solving for n_2 , $n_2 = n_1 + k_2 - k_1$.

Substituting into

$$m_1 + n_2 = m_2 + n_1,$$

$$m_1 + (n_1 + k_2 - k_1) = m_2 + n_1$$

$$m_1 + n_1 + k_2 - k_1 = m_2 + n_1$$

$$\quad -n_1 \quad +k_1 \quad \quad -n_1 +k_1$$

$$m_1 + k_2 = m_2 + k_1$$

$$\Rightarrow (m_1, m_2) \sim (k_1, k_2) \checkmark$$

So we know \sim is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

Now we need to see why

$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} / \sim$ should be \mathbb{Z} .

Define, for an equivalence relation \sim on a set A and $a \in A$,

$$[a] = \{b \in A \mid b \sim a\},$$

the equivalence class of a .

For $[(m_1, m_2)], [(n_1, n_2)]$

$$\text{define } [(m_1, m_2)] + [(n_1, n_2)] \\ = [(m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2)].$$

Let us check this is well-defined.

That is, if

$$(k_1, k_2) \sim (m_1, m_2)$$

and $(l_1, l_2) \sim (n_1, n_2)$, then

$$(m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2) \sim (k_1 + l_1, k_2 + l_2)$$

Since $(m_1, m_2) \sim (k_1, k_2)$
and $(n_1, n_2) \sim (l_1, l_2)$,

$$m_1 + k_2 = m_2 + k_1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$n_1 + l_2 = n_2 + l_1.$$

Adding these equations,

$$(m_1 + k_2) + (n_1 + l_2) = (m_2 + k_1) + (n_2 + l_1)$$

Happily rearranging parentheses
and using commutativity,

$$(m_1 + n_1) + (k_2 + l_2) = (m_2 + n_2) + (k_1 + l_1).$$

$$\Rightarrow (m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2) \sim (k_1 + l_1, k_2 + l_2) \checkmark$$

Hence, addition is well-defined.

Claim: $0 = [(1, 1)]$.

Let $(m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & [(m_1, m_2)] + [(1, 1)] \\ &= \left[\underbrace{(m_1 + 1)}_{n_1}, \underbrace{(m_2 + 1)}_{n_2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } m_1 + n_2 &= m_1 + m_2 + 1 \\ &= m_2 + m_1 + 1 \\ &= m_2 + n_1 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } [(1, 1)] + [(m_1, m_2)] \\ = [(m_1, m_2)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}.$$

So now we know how to define zero. What counts as a positive or negative number?

The idea: the pair
 (m_1, m_2) is supposed
to correspond to the
integer

$$m_1 - m_2$$

$$\text{So } [(1, 1)] = 1 - 1 = 0$$

We want to set
positive numbers to
be the equivalence
class of

$$[(m+1, 1)] = m$$

For negative numbers,

$$[(1, m+1)] = -m$$

Observation:

Take $[(m_1, m_2)]$ with

$$m_1 > m_2.$$

Claim:

$$(m_1, m_2) \sim (m_1 - m_2 + 1, 1)$$

check: $m_1 + 1$

$$= m_2 + (m_1 - m_2 + 1)$$

$$= m_1 + 1 \quad \checkmark$$

If $m_2 > m_1$, then

I claim

$$(m_1, m_2)$$

$$\sim (1, m_2 - m_1 + 1).$$

Check:

$$m_1 + (m_2 - m_1 + 1) = m_2 + 1$$

$$m_2 + 1 \quad \checkmark$$

Multiplication

If (m_1, m_2) represents $m_1 - m_2$ and (n_1, n_2) represents $n_1 - n_2$, then

$(m_1, m_2)(n_1, n_2)$ should equal

$$(m_1 - m_2)(n_1 - n_2)$$

$$= \underbrace{m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2}_{\text{positive part}} - \underbrace{m_2 n_1 - m_1 n_2}_{\text{negative part}}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} & [(m_1, m_2)] \cdot [(n_1, n_2)] \\ &= [(m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2, m_1 n_2 + m_2 n_1)] \end{aligned}$$

Need to check that this
is correct, i.e.,
consistent with our idea
of multiplication

This is well-defined, but
I won't check it!

Other Properties

$$1) (-1) \cdot n = -n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$-1 = [(1, 2)]. \text{ Let } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$[(1, 2)] \cdot [(m+1, 1)]$$

$$= [(m+1+2, 2(m+1)+1)]$$

$$= [(m+3, 2m+3)]$$

$$= [(1, m+1)]$$

The last equality is true
since

$$\begin{aligned}(m+3) + (m+1) \\ &= 2m+4 \\ &= (2m+3) + 1 \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}[(1, 2)] \cdot [(1, m+1)] \\ &= [(m+1, 1)].\end{aligned}$$

$$2) \underline{0 \cdot n = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

Let $[(m_1, m_2)] \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$

$$[(1, 1)] \cdot [(m_1, m_2)]$$

$$= [(m_1 + m_2, m_1 + m_2)]$$

$$= [(1, 1)] \quad \checkmark$$

3) Commutativity

Let $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$[(m_1, m_2)] \cdot [(n_1, n_2)]$$

$$= [(m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2, m_1 n_2 + m_2 n_1)]$$

$$= [(n_1 m_1 + n_2 m_2, n_2 m_1 + n_1 m_2)]$$

$$= [(n_1, n_2)] \cdot [(m_1, m_2)] \quad \checkmark$$

$$4) \quad \underline{0 \neq v \quad \forall \quad 0 < v^2}$$

Let $[(m_1, m_2)] \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$,

$$m_1 \neq m_2.$$

$$[(m_1, m_2)] \cdot [(m_1, m_2)]$$

$$= [(m_1^2 + m_2^2, 2m_1 m_2)]$$

Note

$$m_1^2 + m_2^2 - 2m_1 m_2$$

$$= (m_1 - m_2)^2 \in \mathbb{N}$$

This shows

$m_1^2 + m_2^2$ is greater

than $2m_1m_2$. ✓

Of course need to check
many other properties

(associativity,

distributivity, etc.)

but enough!

Ordering

Define an order " $<$ "
on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} / \sim$ by:

let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$[(m+1, 1)] > [(1, 1)]$$

and if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and
 $m > n$, then

$$[(m+1, 1)] > [(n+1, 1)].$$

Set, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$,

$$[(1, m+1)] < [(1, 1)]$$

$$[(1, m+1)] < [(k+1, 1)]$$

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$[(1, m+1)] < [(1, n+1)].$$

Subtraction

Observe: let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$[(m, n)] + [(n, m)]$$

$$= [(m+n, m+n)]$$

$$= [(1, 1)]$$

So every $[(m, n)] \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} / \sim$

has additive inverse, i.e.,
an element $[(k, l)]$ with

$$[(m, n)] + [(k, l)] \\ = [(1, 1)].$$

Define $[(m, n)] - [(k, l)]$
 $:= [(m, n)] + [(l, k)]$

Hopefully we are now
convinced that

$$\frac{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}{\sim} = \mathbb{Z}.$$